

Topic	Description of Committee Interests	Considerations
Procurement Processes	<p>Responsiveness to 2008 OPEGA report</p> <p>RFP Development</p> <p>Competition and Waiver</p> <p>Contract Extension</p> <p>State Procurement Review Committee</p>	<p>Whether DAFS has addressed Committee questions/Rep. Fay's letter</p> <p>Potential for OPEGA Compliance Testing/Informing Revised DAFS Procurement Manual Which is Pending</p>
"Best Interest" of the State	<p>Does it mandate something additional and distinct from the other statutory commands in making an award</p> <p>Potential for comparison with other approaches (other States, federal, localities, private sector?)</p>	<p>DAFS Suggestion of Potential for Subjectivity/Destabilizing—Further Dialogue Needed Between GOC&amp;DAFS?</p> <p>Canons of Statutory Construction: All words have meaning</p> <p>Not a test of what is; but a menu of what could be?</p>
Exceptions to Standard Processes; Other Case-Specific Competitive Awards	<p>Universe of Statutory Exceptions (e.g., Juniper Ridge)</p> <p>Other Recent Public Controversies (e.g., Non-Emergency Transport)</p>	<p>Could DAFS be asked directly and share a list with the Committee and engage in further dialogue?</p> <p>Exceptions are Inherently expressions of policy—up to Legislature, not OPEGA to decide?</p> <p>Case-specific outcomes addressed in other established process (administrative appeal; further resort to Superior Court)</p>
Treatment of Unsuccessful Bidders	<p>Do bidders receive meaningful feedback on losing bids?</p> <p>How effective is outreach in providing resources to losing bidders/potential future bidders?</p> <p>Barriers to entry?</p>	<p>Whether DAFS can offer additional information and assurance in lieu of an OPEGA review</p> <p>Case-specific outcomes addressed in other established process (administrative appeal; further resort to Superior Court)</p>
Challenges with Large Information Tech Systems Delivery	<p>Members have heard about Kathadin, eCourts, WorkDay, and an RFP by MCPDS</p> <p>Role of Project Management</p>	<p>Large public investment</p> <p>Programs and operations experiencing high-profile ongoing challenges</p> <p>Lessons Learned</p>
DHHS	<p>At the heart of ongoing Committee interest regarding mission effectiveness and service delivery</p> <p>*New* letter to the Committee from association of addiction and mental health providers expressing concerns over contract administration—and the impact on their social service delivery missions</p>	<p>Is critical investment in scarce social services further impaired by potentially suboptimal contract management and administration?</p>