LD 264: Resolve, Directing the Board of Pesticides Control to Gather Information Relating to Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in the State



#### LD 264 provision—affidavits

- Requires amending pesticide registration rules to require submission of two affidavits that address:
  - the use of fluorinated high-density polyethylene (HDPE) containers for storing, distributing, and packaging pesticide products; and
  - the inclusion of perfluoroalkyl or polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in the formulation of the pesticide product.

#### LD 264 provision—gather information

- Requires the Board to gather information relating to PFAS substances with a specific focus on developing:
  - the framework necessary to regulate fluorinated adjuvants;
  - the framework necessary to prohibit the distribution and use of pesticides and adjuvants containing PFAS; and
  - a feasible definition of PFAS adulteration in a pesticide.
- Submit a report by January 15, 2022 to the ACF Committee.

#### Actions to date

- Developed affidavit language
- Researched, planned and now working with software programmers to develop affidavit functionality within existing registration software
- Also developing the ability to collect Confidential Statements of Formula (CSF) for registered pesticides (but could also be for adjuvants)
- Collected data from other states on registration of spray adjuvants
- Analyzed statutes, rules, and regulations from Maine and other states to identify authorities to regulate spray adjuvants
- Collected lists of regulated spray adjuvants from other states
- Explored definitions of PFAS and PFAS adulteration

#### Actions to date, continued

- Determined limits of BPC enforcement authorities in cases of PFAS adulteration
- Communicated with pesticide repackaging entities in the state about the use of fluorinated HDPE containers (6 entities)
- Communicated with DEP staff regarding overlap with LD 1503, An Act To Stop Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Pollution (now 38 MRS §1614 et seq.)
- Identified several currently registered pesticide active ingredients that meet the definition of PFAS as established in 38 MRS §1614
- Identified areas of concern for implementation of LD 264 with ambiguity in interpreting definitions of PFAS

## Regulating fluorinated adjuvants

- Focused on adjuvants sold separately from registered pesticide products
- Adjuvants in pesticide formulations are addressed in the CSF/by affidavits
- "Fluorinated adjuvants" assumed to contain at least one ingredient considered a PFAS
- Adjuvants are not federally regulated; but are regulated by AR, CA, ID, KY, MS, TN, UT, WA, WY
- Registration fees that range from \$160 \$1,150 per product
- Copy of product labels are not required by KY, TN, WY
  - By request in AR and six copies required by CA
- Copy of Confidential Statement of Formula not required for CA, KY, MS, TN, UT, WY
  - By request in AR and efficacy data required for ID

## Authorities to regulate adjuvants

- Staff have researched what is needed to regulate adjuvants
- Statutory definitions from other states
  - AR and KY define adjuvants in statute
  - KY and WY includes adjuvants in pesticides definition
- Maine does not define adjuvants in statute
- Adjuvants may be regulated by:
  - Creating a statutory and regulatory rubric to include development of key definitions and collection of fees
  - Could collect spray adjuvant information in a manner similar to pesticide registration
  - Could consider submission of the CSF

## Staffing

- Currently, BPC has one full-time employee dedicated to product review and registration and water quality
  - In the process of hiring an additional FTE to help with current registration demands and water quality monitoring responsibilities
- BPC would need <u>at least</u> one additional FTE for adjuvant registration review.
- Other states dedicate between 1 to 7 FTE that are solely responsible for the registration of pesticides and spray adjuvants.
- Staffing demands depend on the extent of the review required.
- Adjuvant registration will add to the inspection demands, registration referrals, and communication.

# Steps to prohibit distribution and application of PFAS in pesticides

- Current Maine law allows BPC to collect CSF information in addition to affidavits allowing the BPC to identify all intentionally added PFAS.
  - Formulations with PFAS will be subject to LD 1503 (Title 38, Section 1614) by 2030 unless deemed "unavoidable" by DEP.
- Maine does not regulate spray adjuvants and would, as mentioned, need authority from the legislature.
  - Authority would be needed in statute to include adjuvants in the pesticides definition or add a spray adjuvant definition
  - Again, formulations with PFAS will be subject to LD 1503 by 2030 unless use is deemed "unavoidable" by DEP.
  - As this is a new area of regulation for the BPC, depending on the proposed regulatory approach, at least one FTE and funding for this position will be needed.

### LD 1503 (Title 38, Section 1614)

- Will prohibit PFAS chemicals as intentionally added components in pesticides starting in 2030
- DEP may allow for products with intentionally added PFAS to be sold if the product's use is designated as "unavoidable" by DEP
  - Unless use of a pesticide or adjuvant which contains PFAS is designated as "unavoidable" by DEP—sale, registration, and use of these products would be prohibited.

## Limitations in identifying PFAS adulterants

- EPA verified testing for PFAS that are not a part of pesticide formulation is limited
  - 12,039 known PFAS that exists (as of December of 2021)
  - EPA considers PFAS as 'toxicologically significant' contaminants under 6(a)(2) reporting
    - BPC is working with EPA to determine if we can and how to gain access to this information
    - Mandatory submission for manufacturers within 30 days
  - EPA definition includes substances with a chain of two or more fully fluorinated carbons
- Somewhere between three and 190 ingredients in pesticides or adjuvants that could be classified as PFAS in Maine
  - Enforcement could be difficult to pursue given limited testing options
  - CSF could identify substances that could be referred to enforcement