

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Date: (Filing No. H-)

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.

**STATE OF MAINE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
125TH LEGISLATURE
FIRST REGULAR SESSION**

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT “ ” to H.P. 317, L.D. 391, Bill, “An Act Concerning Models for Teacher and Principal Evaluations”

Amend the bill by inserting after the enacting clause and before section 1 the following:

Sec. 1. 20-A MRSA §13802, sub-§1, as amended by PL 2009, c. 646, §2, is further amended to read:

1. Department to propose models. The department shall ~~establish~~ propose models for evaluation of the professional performance of teachers and principals employed in a school administrative unit within the State. The models must include multiple measures.'

Amend the bill in section 1 in subsection 2 in the 2nd line (page 1, line 5 in L.D.) by striking out the following: "developed" and inserting the following: '~~developed~~ proposed'

Amend the bill in section 2 in section 3 in the 2nd line (page 1, line 14 in L.D.) by striking out the following: "developed" and inserting the following: '~~developed~~ proposed'

Amend the bill in section 2 in section 3 by striking out the last paragraph (page 1, lines 27 to 31 in L.D.) and inserting the following:

'The stakeholder group shall review the models ~~developed~~ proposed by the Department of Education for the evaluation of the professional performance of teachers and principals and ~~shall approve models no later than July 1, 2011~~. The Department of Education may not ~~finally adopt~~ put forth a model that is not approved by a majority vote of the stakeholder group pursuant to this section.'

Amend the bill by relettering or renumbering any nonconsecutive Part letter or section number to read consecutively.

SUMMARY

This amendment clarifies the role of the Department of Education in regard to teacher and principal evaluation models. The department shall propose, rather than establish, models for evaluation of the professional performance of teachers and principals. The

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

1 amendment clarifies the role of the stakeholder group by indicating that approval of a
2 model is by a majority vote of the group. The amendment also removes the ending date
3 originally established for the stakeholder group to review models proposed by the
4 department.