

125th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2011

Legislative Document

No. 1377

H.P. 1016

House of Representatives, March 31, 2011

An Act To Adopt the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

Heath & Print

HEATHER J.R. PRIEST Clerk

Presented by Representative SANBORN of Gorham. Cosponsored by Senator BRANNIGAN of Cumberland and Representatives: MacDONALD of Boothbay, ROTUNDO of Lewiston, STUCKEY of Portland, WEBSTER of Freeport, Senator: CRAVEN of Androscoggin.

1	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2	Sec. 1. 18-A MRSA Art. 5, Pt. 5-A is enacted to read:
3	
4	UNIFORM ADULT GUARDIANSHIP AND PROTECTIVE
5	PROCEEDINGS JURISDICTION ACT
6	
7	PREFATORY NOTE
8	
9	The Uniform Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Act (UGPPA),
10	which was last revised in 1997, is a comprehensive act addressing all aspects of
11	guardianships and protective proceedings for both minors and adults. The Uniform
12	Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act (UAGPPJA) has
13	a much narrower scope, dealing only with jurisdiction and related issues in adult
14	proceedings. Drafting of the UAGPPJA began in 2005. The Act had its first
15	reading at the Uniform Law Commission 2006 Annual Meeting, and was
16	approved at the 2007 Annual Meeting.
17	
18	States may enact the UAGPPJA either separately or as part of the broader
19	UGPPA or the even broader Uniform Probate Code (UPC), of which the UGPPA
20	forms a part. Conforming amendments to the UGPPA and UPC are expected to be
21	approved in 2009 that will facilitate enactment of the UAGPPJA by states that have enacted the UGPPA or UPC.
22	have enacted the UGPPA of UPC.
23 24	The Problem of Multiple Jurisdiction
24 25	The Froblem of Whitiple Julisaicuon
26	Because the United States has 50 plus guardianship systems, problems of
20	determining jurisdiction are frequent. Questions of which state has jurisdiction to
28	appoint a guardian or conservator can arise between an American state and
20 29	another country. But more frequently, problems arise because the individual has
30	contacts with more than one American state.
31	
32	In nearly all American states, a guardian may be appointed by a court in a
33	state in which the individual is domiciled or is physically present. In nearly all
34	American states, a conservator may be appointed by a court in a state in which the
35	individual is domiciled or has property. Contested cases in which courts in more
36	than one state have jurisdiction are becoming more frequent. Sometimes these
37	cases arise because the adult is physically located in a state other than the adult's
38	domicile. Sometimes the case arises because of uncertainty as to the adult's
39	domicile, particularly if the adult owns a second home in another state. There is a
40	need for an effective mechanism for resolving multi-jurisdictional disputes.
41	Article 2 of the UAGPPJA is intended to provide such a mechanism.
42	

1 **The Problem of Transfer**

3 Oftentimes, problems arise even absent a dispute. Even if everyone is agreed that an already existing guardianship or conservatorship should be moved 4 5 to another state, few states have streamlined procedures for transferring a proceeding to another state or for accepting such a transfer. In most states, all of 6 the procedures for an original appointment must be repeated, a time consuming 7 and expensive prospect. Article 3 of the UAGPPJA is designed to provide an 8 expedited process for making such transfers, thereby avoiding the need to 9 relitigate incapacity and whether the guardian or conservator appointed in the first 10 state was an appropriate selection. 11

12 13

14

2

The Problem of Out-of-State Recognition

15 The Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution requires that court orders in one state be honored in another state. But there are exceptions 16 to the full faith and credit doctrine, of which guardianship and protective 17 proceedings is one. Sometimes, guardianship or protective proceedings must be 18 initiated in a second state because of the refusal of financial institutions, care 19 20 facilities, and the courts to recognize a guardianship or protective order issued in another state. Article 4 of the UAGPPJA creates a registration procedure. 21 Following registration of the guardianship or protective order in the second state, 22 the guardian may exercise in the second state all powers authorized in the original 23 state's order of appointment except for powers that cannot be legally exercised in 24 the second state. 25

- 26
- 27 28

The Proposed Uniform Law and the Child Custody Analogy

29 Similar problems of jurisdiction existed for many years in the United States in connection with child custody determinations. If one parent lived in one 30 31 state and the other parent lived in another state, frequently courts in more than one state had jurisdiction to issue custody orders. But the Uniform Law Conference 32 33 has approved two uniform acts that have effectively minimized the problem of multiple court jurisdiction in child custody matters; the Uniform Child Custody 34 Jurisdiction Act (UCCJA), approved in 1968, succeeded by the Uniform Child 35 Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (UCCJEA), approved in 1997. The 36 drafters of the UAGPPJA have elected to model Article 2 and portions of Article 1 37 of their Act after these child custody analogues. However, the UAGPPJA applies 38 39 only to adult proceedings. The UAGPPJA is limited to adults in part because most jurisdictional issues involving guardianships for minors are subsumed by the 40 41 UCCJEA.

42

43 The Objectives and Key Concepts of the Proposed UAGPPJA

The UAGPPJA is organized into five articles. Article 1 contains 1 definitions and provisions designed to facilitate cooperation between courts in 2 different states. Article 2 is the heart of the Act, specifying which court has 3 jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or conservator or issue another type of protective 4 5 order and contains definitions applicable only to that article. Its principal objective is to assure that an appointment or order is made or issued in only one state except 6 in cases of emergency or in situations where the individual owns property located 7 in multiple states. Article 3 specifies a procedure for transferring a guardianship or 8 conservatorship proceedings from one state to another state. Article 4 deals with 9 enforcement of guardianship and protective orders in other states. Article 5 10 contains an effective date provision, a place to list provisions of existing law to be 11 repealed or amended, and boilerplate provisions common to all uniform acts. 12

13 14

15

Key Definitions (Section 201)

To determine which court has primary jurisdiction under the UAGPPJA, 16 the key factors are to determine the individual's "home state" and "significant-17 connection state." A "home state" (Section 201(a)(2)) is the state in which the 18 individual was physically present, including any period of temporary absence, for 19 20 at least six consecutive months immediately before the filing of a petition for a protective order or appointment of a guardian. If the respondent was not 21 physically present in a single state for the six months immediately preceding the 22 filing of the petition, the home state is the place where the respondent was last 23 physically present for at least six months as long as such presence ended within 24 the six months prior to the filing of the petition. Section 201(a)(2). Stated another 25 way, the ability of the home state to appoint a guardian or enter a protective order 26 27 for an individual continues for up to six months following the individual's physical relocation to another state. 28

29 30

31

32 33

34

A "significant-connection state," which is a potentially broader concept, means the state in which the individual has a significant connection other than mere physical presence, and where substantial evidence concerning the individual is available. Section 201(a)(3). Factors that may be considered in deciding whether a particular respondent has a significant connection include:

35 36 37

40

41

42

the location of the respondent's family and others required to be notified of • the guardianship or protective proceeding;

- the length of time the respondent was at any time physically present in the state and the duration of any absences;
- the location of the respondent's property; and ٠
- the extent to which the respondent has other ties to the state such as voting registration, filing of state or local tax returns, vehicle registration, driver's license, social relationships, and receipt of services. Section 201(b).
- 43 44

1	A respondent in a guardianship or protective proceeding may have
2	multiple significant-connection states but will have only one home state.
3	
4 5	Jurisdiction (Article 2)
6	Section 203 is the principal provision governing jurisdiction, creating a
7	three-level priority; the home state, followed by a significant-connection state,
8	followed by other jurisdictions:
9 10	• <i>Home State</i> : The home state has primary jurisdiction to appoint a guardian
11 12	or conservator or issue another type of protective order.
13	• Significant-connection State: A significant-connection state has
14	jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or conservator or issue another type of
15	protective order if on the date the petition was filed:
16	• the respondent does not have a home state or the home state has
17	declined jurisdiction on the basis that the significant-connection
18	state is a more appropriate forum; or
19	• the respondent has a home state, a petition for an appointment or
20	order is not pending in a court of that state or another significant-
21	connection state, and, before the court makes the appointment or
22	issues the order (i) a petition for an appointment or order is not
23	filed in the respondent's home state; (ii) an objection to the court's
24	jurisdiction is not filed by a person required to be notified of the
25	proceeding; and (iii) the court in this state concludes that it is an
26	appropriate forum under the factors set forth in Section 206.
27	
28	• Another State: A court in another state has jurisdiction if the home state
29	and all significant-connection states have declined jurisdiction because the
30	court in the other state is a more appropriate forum, or the respondent does
31	not have a home state or significant-connection state.
32	
33	Section 204 addresses special cases. Regardless of whether it has
34	jurisdiction under the general principles stated in Section 203, a court in the state
35	where the respondent is currently physically present has jurisdiction to appoint a
36	guardian in an emergency, and a court in a state where a respondent's real or
37	tangible personal property is located has jurisdiction to appoint a conservator or
38	issue another type of protective order with respect to that property. In addition, a
39	court not otherwise having jurisdiction under Section 203 has jurisdiction to
40	consider a petition to accept the transfer of an already existing guardianship or
41	conservatorship from another state as provided in Article 3.
42	
43	The remainder of Article 2 elaborates on these core concepts. Section 205
44	provides that once a guardian or conservator is appointed or other protective order

is issued, the court's jurisdiction continues until the proceeding is terminated or 1 transferred or the appointment or order expires by its own terms. Section 206 2 authorizes a court to decline jurisdiction if it determines that the court of another 3 state is a more appropriate forum, and specifies the factors to be taken into account 4 in making this determination. Section 207 authorizes a court to decline jurisdiction 5 or fashion another appropriate remedy if jurisdiction was acquired because of 6 unjustifiable conduct. Section 208 prescribes additional notice requirements if a 7 proceeding is brought in a state other than the respondent's home state. Section 8 209 specifies a procedure for resolving jurisdictional issues if petitions are 9 pending in more than one state. The UAGPPJA also includes provisions regarding 10 communication between courts in different states, requests for assistance made by 11 a court to a court of another state, and the taking of testimony in another state. 12 Sections 104-106. 13

14 15

Transfer to Another State (Article 3)

16 17

Article 3 specifies a procedure for transferring an already existing guardianship or conservatorship to another state. To make the transfer, court 18 orders are necessary from both the court transferring the case and from the court 19 20 accepting the case. The transferring court must find that the incapacitated or protected person is physically present in or is reasonably expected to move 21 permanently to the other state, that adequate arrangements have been made for the 22 person or the person's property in the other state, and that the court is satisfied the 23 case will be accepted by the court in the other state. To assure continuity, the court 24 in the transferring state cannot dismiss the local proceeding until the order from 25 the state accepting the case is filed with the transferring court. To expedite the 26 27 transfer process, the court in the accepting state must give deference to the transferring court's finding of incapacity and selection of the guardian or 28 29 conservator. Much of Article 3 is based on the pioneering work of the National Probate Court Standards, a 1993 joint project of the National College of Probate 30 31 Judges and the National Center for State Courts.

32 33

Out of State Enforcement (Article 4)

- To facilitate enforcement of guardianship and protective orders in other states, Article 4 authorizes a guardian or conservator to register these orders in other states. Upon registration, the guardian or conservator may exercise in the registration state all powers authorized in the order except as prohibited by the laws of the registration state.
- 40

41 International Application (Section 103)42

43 Section 103 addresses application of the Act to guardianship and protective 44 orders issued in other countries. A foreign order is not enforceable pursuant to the registration procedures under Article 4, but a court in the United States may otherwise apply the Act as if the foreign country were an American state.

2 3 4

5

1

The Problem of Differing Terminology

States differ on terminology for the person appointed by the court to 6 handle the personal and financial affairs of a minor or incapacitated adult. Under 7 the UGPPA and in a majority of American states, a "guardian" is appointed to 8 make decisions regarding the person of an "incapacitated person;" a "conservator" 9 is appointed in a "protective proceeding" to manage the property of a "protected 10 person." But in many states, only a "guardian" is appointed, either a guardian of 11 the person or guardian of the estate, and in a few states, the terms guardian and 12 conservator are used but with different meanings. The UAGPPJA adopts the 13 terminology used in the UGPPA and in a majority of the states. An enacting state 14 that uses a different term than "guardian" or "conservator" for the person 15 appointed by the court or that defines either of these terms differently than does 16 the UGPPA may, but is not encouraged to, substitute its own term or definition. 17 Use of common terms and definitions by states enacting the Act will facilitate 18 resolution of cases involving multiple jurisdictions. 19

21 The Drafting Committee was assisted by numerous officially designated advisors and observers, representing an array of organizations. In 22 addition to the American Bar Association advisors listed above, important 23 contributions were made by Sally Hurme of AARP, Terry W. Hammond of the 24 National Guardianship Association, Kathleen T. Whitehead and Shirley B. 25 Whitenack of the National Academy of Elder Law Attorneys, Catherine Anne 26 Seal of the Colorado Bar Association, Kay Farley of the National Center for State 27 Courts, and Robert G. Spector, the Reporter for the Joint Editorial Board for 28 Uniform Family Laws and the Reporter for the Uniform Child Custody 29 Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997). 30

31

20

32 <u>PART 5-A</u> 33 <u>UNIFORM ADULT GUARDIANSHIP AND PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS</u> JURISDICTION ACT 35 <u>SUBPART 1</u> 36 GENERAL PROVISIONS

General Comment

38 39

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Article 1 contains definitions and general provisions used throughout the Act. Definitions applicable only to Article 2 are found in Section 201. Section 101 is the title, Section 102 contains the definitions, and Sections 103-106 the general provisions. Section 103 provides that a court of an enacting state may treat a foreign country as a state for the purpose of applying all portions of the Act other than Article 4, Section 104 addresses communication between courts, Section 105 requests by a court to a court in another state for assistance, and Section 106 the taking of testimony in other states. These Article 1 provisions relating to court communication and assistance are essential tools to assure the effectiveness of the provisions of Article 2 determining jurisdiction and in facilitating transfer of a proceeding to another state as authorized in Article 3.
13	<u>§5-511. Short title</u>
14 15	This Part may be known and cited as "the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act."
16 17 18	Comment
18 19 20 21	(This is Section 101 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
22 23 24 25 26	The title to the Act succinctly describes the Act's scope. The Act applies only to court jurisdiction and related topics for adults for whom the appointment of a guardian or conservator or other protective order is being sought or has been issued.
27 28 29 30 31 32	The drafting committee elected to limit the Act to adults for two reasons. First, jurisdictional issues concerning guardians for minors are subsumed by the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997). Second, while the UCCJEA does not address conservatorship and other issues involving the property of minors, all of the problems and concerns that led the Uniform Law Commission to appoint a drafting committee involved adults.
33	
34	<u>§5-512. Definitions</u>
35 36	As used in this Part, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.
37	(a). "Adult" means an individual who has attained 18 years of age.

1 2	(b). "Conservator" means a person appointed by the court to administer the property of an adult, including a person appointed under Part 4.
3 4	(c). "Guardian" means a person appointed by the court to make decisions regarding the person of an adult, including a person appointed under Part 3.
5	(d). "Guardianship order" means an order appointing a guardian.
6 7	(e). "Guardianship proceeding" means a judicial proceeding in which an order for the appointment of a guardian is sought or has been issued.
8	(f). "Incapacitated person" means an adult for whom a guardian has been appointed.
9 10	(g). "Party" means the respondent, petitioner, guardian, conservator or any other person allowed by the court to participate in a guardianship or protective proceeding.
11 12 13 14 15	(h). "Person," except in the term "incapacitated person" or "protected person," means: an individual; corporation; business trust; estate; trust; partnership; limited liability company; association; joint venture; public corporation; government or governmental subdivision, agency or instrumentality; or any other legal or commercial entity.
16	(i). "Protected person" means an adult for whom a protective order has been issued.
17 18	(j). "Protective order" means an order appointing a conservator or other order related to management of an adult's property.
19 20	(k). "Protective proceeding" means a judicial proceeding in which a protective order is sought or has been issued.
21 22	(1). "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
23 24	(m). "Respondent" means an adult for whom a protective order or the appointment of a guardian is sought.
25 26 27	(n). "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, a federally recognized Indian tribe or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.
28 29 30	Comment
31 32	(This is Section 102 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
33 34 35 36 37	The definition of "adult" (paragraph (1)) would exclude an emancipated minor. The Act is not designed to supplant the local substantive law on guardianship. States whose guardianship law treats emancipated minors as adults may wish to modify this definition.

Three of the other definitions are standard uniform law terms. These are the definitions of "person" (paragraph (8)), "record" (paragraph (12)), and "state" (paragraph (14)). Two are common procedural terms. The individual for whom a guardianship or protective order is sought is a "respondent" (paragraph (13)). A person who may participate in a guardianship or protective proceeding is referred to as a "party" (paragraph (7)).

- 9 The remaining definitions refer to standard guardianship terminology used in a majority of states. A "guardian" (paragraph (3)) is appointed in a 10 "guardianship order" (paragraph (4)) which is issued as part of a "guardianship 11 proceeding" (paragraph (5)) and which authorizes the guardian to make decisions 12 regarding the person of an "incapacitated person" (paragraph (6)). A "conservator" 13 14 (paragraph (2)) is appointed pursuant to a "protective order" (paragraph (10)) which is issued as part of a "protective proceeding" (paragraph (11)) and which 15 authorizes the conservator to manage the property of a "protected person" 16 (paragraph (9)). 17
- 18 19 In most states, a protective order may be issued by the court without the 20 appointment of a conservator. For example, under the Uniform Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Act, the court may authorize a so-called single transaction 21 for the security, service, or care meeting the foreseeable needs of the protected 22 person, including the payment, delivery, deposit, or retention of property; sale, 23 mortgage, lease, or other transfer of property; purchase of an annuity; making a 24 contract for life care, deposit contract, or contract for training and education; and 25 the creation of or addition to a suitable trust. UGPPA (1997) §412(1). It is for this 26 27 reason that the Act contains frequent references to the broader category of protective orders. Where the Act is intended to apply only to conservatorships, 28 29 such as in Article 3 dealing with transfers of proceedings to other states, the Act 30 refers to conservatorship and not to the broader category of protective proceeding. 31

The Act does not limit the types of conservatorships or guardianships to which the Act applies. The Act applies whether the conservatorship or guardianship is denominated as plenary, limited, temporary or emergency. The Act, however, would not ordinarily apply to a guardian ad litem, who is ordinarily appointed by the court to represent a person or conduct an investigation in a specified legal proceeding.

38

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

39 Section 102 is not the sole definitional section in the Act. Section 201 40 contains definitions of important terms used only in Article 2. These are the 41 definitions of "emergency" (Section 201(1)), "home state" (Section 201(2)), and 42 "significant-connection state" (Section 201(3)).

<u>§5-513. International application of Part</u>
A court of this State may treat a foreign country as if it were a state for the purpose of applying this subpart and subparts 2, 3 and 5.
Comment
(This is Section 103 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective
Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
This section addresses application of the Act to guardianship and
protective orders issued in other countries. A foreign order is not enforceable
pursuant to the registration procedures of Article 4, but a court in this country may
otherwise apply this Act to a foreign proceeding as if the foreign country were an
American state. Consequently, a court may conclude that the court in the foreign
country has jurisdiction because it constitutes the respondent's "home state" or
"significant-connection state" and may therefore decline to exercise jurisdiction on
the ground that the court of the foreign country has a higher priority under Section
203. Or the court may treat the foreign country as if it were a state of the United
States for purposes of applying the transfer provisions of Article 3.
This section addresses similar issues to but differs in result from Section
105 of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997).
Under the UCCJEA, the United States court must honor a custody order issued by
the court of a foreign country if the order was issued under factual circumstances
in substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of the UCCJEA. Only if
the child custody law violates fundamental principles of human rights is
enforcement excused. Because guardianship regimes vary so greatly around the
world, particularly in civil law countries, it was concluded that under this Act a
more flexible approach was needed. Under this Act, a court may but is not
required to recognize the foreign order.
The fact that a guardianship or protective order of a foreign country cannot
be enforced pursuant to the registration procedures of Article 4 does not preclude
enforcement by the court under some other provision or rule of law.
§5-514. Communication between courts
(a). A court of this State may communicate with a court in another state concerning a
proceeding arising under this Part. The court may allow the parties to participate in the
communication. Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), the court shall make a
record of the communication. The record may be limited to the fact that the
communication occurred.

(b). Courts may communicate concerning schedules, calendars, court records and other administrative matters without making a record.

Comment

(This is Section 104 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)

This section emphasizes the importance of communications among courts with an interest in a particular matter. Most commonly, this would include communication between courts of different states to resolve an issue of which court has jurisdiction to proceed under Article 2. It would also include communication between courts of different states to facilitate the transfer of a guardianship or conservatorship to a different state under Article 3. Communication can occur in a variety of ways, including by electronic means. This section does not prescribe the use of any particular means of communication.

18 The court may authorize the parties to participate in the communication. But the Act does not mandate participation or require that the court give the 19 parties notice of any communication. Communication between courts is often 20 21 difficult to schedule and participation by the parties may be impractical. Phone calls or electronic communications often have to be made after-hours or whenever 22 23 the schedules of judges allow. When issuing a jurisdictional or transfer order, the court should set forth the extent to which a communication with another court may 24 25 have been a factor in the decision.

26

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

27 This section includes brackets around the language relating to whether a record must be made of any communication with the court of the other state. As 28 indicated by the Legislative Note to this section, the language is bracketed because 29 of a concern in some states that a legislative enactment directing when a court 30 31 must make a record in a judicial proceeding may violate the doctrine on separation of powers. The language is not bracketed because the drafters concluded that the 32 making of a record is not important. Rather, if concerns about separation of 33 powers lead to the deletion of the bracketed language, the enacting state is 34 encouraged to achieve the objectives of the bracketed language by promulgating a 35 comparable provision by judicial rule. 36

37

This section does not prescribe the extent of the record that the court must make, leaving that issue to the court. A record might include notes or transcripts of a court reporter who listened to a conference call between the courts, an electronic recording of a telephone call, a memorandum summarizing a conversation, and email communications. No record need be made of relatively inconsequential matters such as scheduling, calendars, and court records.

Page 11 - 125LR0678(01)-1

1	
2 3	Section 110 of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997) addresses similar issues as this section but is more detailed. As is the
3 4	case with several other provisions of this Act, the drafters of this Act concluded
5	that the more varied circumstances of adult guardianship and protective
6 7	proceedings suggested a need for greater flexibility.
8	<u>§5-515. Cooperation between courts</u>
9 10	(a). In a guardianship or protective proceeding in this State, a court of this State may request the appropriate court of another state to do any of the following:
11	(1). Hold an evidentiary hearing;
12 13	(2). Order a person in that state to produce evidence or give testimony pursuant to procedures of that state;
14	(3). Order that an evaluation or assessment be made of the respondent;
15	(4). Order any appropriate investigation of a person involved in a proceeding;
16	(5). Forward to the court of this State a certified copy of the transcript or other record
17	of a hearing under paragraph (1) or any other proceeding, any evidence otherwise
18 19	produced under paragraph (2) and any evaluation or assessment prepared in compliance with an order under paragraph (3) or (4);
20 21	(6). Issue any order necessary to ensure the appearance in the proceeding of a person whose presence is necessary for the court to make a determination, including the
22	respondent or the incapacitated person or protected person;
23	(7). Issue an order authorizing the release of medical, financial, criminal or other
24	relevant information in that state, including protected health information as defined in
25	45 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 160.103, as amended.
26	(b). If a court of another state in which a guardianship or protective proceeding is
27	pending requests assistance of the kind provided in subsection (a), a court of this State
28 29	<u>has jurisdiction for the limited purpose of granting the request or making reasonable</u> efforts to comply with the request.
	enors to compry with the request.
30	
31	Comment
32	
33 34	(This is Section 105 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Invisidiation Act.)
34 35	Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
36	Subsection (a) of this section is similar to Section 112(a) of the Uniform
37	Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997), although modified to
38	address issues of concern in adult guardianship and protective proceedings and
39	with the addition of subsection (a)(7), which addresses the release of health
40	information protected under HIPAA. Subsection (b), which clarifies that a court

has jurisdiction to respond to requests for assistance from courts in other states
even though it might otherwise not have jurisdiction over the proceeding, is not
found in although probably implicit in the UCCJEA.

4

5 Court cooperation is essential to the success of this Act. This section is 6 designed to facilitate such court cooperation. It provides mechanisms for courts to 7 cooperate with each other in order to decide cases in an efficient manner without 8 causing undue expense to the parties. Courts may request assistance from courts of 9 other states and may assist courts of other states. Typically, such assistance will be 10 requested to resolve a jurisdictional issue arising under Article 2 or an issue 11 concerning a transfer proceeding under Article 3.

This section does not address assessment of costs and expenses, leaving that issue to local law. Should a court have acquired jurisdiction because of a party's unjustifiable conduct, Section 207(b) authorizes the court to assess against the party all costs and expenses, including attorney's fees.

17

32 33

34

37

12

18 §5-516. Taking testimony in another state

(a). In a guardianship or protective proceeding, in addition to other procedures that
 may be available, testimony of a witness who is located in another state may be offered
 by deposition or other means allowable in this State for testimony taken in another state.
 The court on its own motion may order that the testimony of a witness be taken in another
 state and may prescribe the manner in which and the terms upon which the testimony is to
 be taken.

(b). In a guardianship or protective proceeding, a court in this State may permit a
 witness located in another state to be deposed or to testify by telephone or audiovisual or
 other electronic means. A court of this State shall cooperate with the court of the other
 state in designating an appropriate location for the deposition or testimony.

(c). Documentary evidence transmitted from another state to a court of this State by
 technological means that do not produce an original writing may not be excluded from
 evidence on an objection based on the best evidence rule.

Comment

35 (This is Section 106 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective36 Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)

This section is similar to Section 111 of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997). That section was in turn derived from Section 316 of the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (1992) and the much earlier and now otherwise obsolete Uniform Interstate and International Procedure
 Act (1962).

This section is designed to fill the vacuum that often exists in cases involving an adult with interstate contacts when much of the essential information about the individual is located in another state.

Subsection (a) empowers the court to initiate the gathering of out-of-state evidence, including depositions, written interrogatories and other discovery devices. The authority granted to the court in no way precludes the gathering of out-of-state evidence by a party, including the taking of depositions out-of-state.

Subsections (b) and (c) clarify that modern modes of communication are permissible for the taking of depositions and receipt of documents into evidence. A state that has adequate exceptions to its best evidence rule to permit the introduction of evidence transmitted by facsimile or in electronic form should delete subsection (c), which has been placed in brackets for this reason.

19 This section is consistent with and complementary to the Uniform 20 Interstate Depositions and Discovery Act (2007), which specifies the procedure 21 for taking depositions in other states.

22

23

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

SUBPART 2

JURISDICTION

- 24
- 25 26

27

General Comment

28 The jurisdictional rules in Article 2 will determine which state's courts may appoint a guardian or conservator or issue another type of protective order. Section 29 201 contains definitions of "emergency," "home state," and "significant-30 connection state," terms used only in Article 2 that are key to understanding the 31 jurisdictional rules under the Act. Section 202 provides that Article 2 is the 32 exclusive jurisdictional basis for a court of the enacting state to appoint a guardian 33 or issue a protective order for an adult. Consequently, Article 2 is applicable even 34 if all of the respondent's significant contacts are in-state. Section 203 is the 35 principal provision governing jurisdiction, creating a three-level priority; the home 36 state, followed by a significant-connection state, followed by other jurisdictions. 37 38 But there are circumstances under Section 203 where a significant-connection state may have jurisdiction even if the respondent also has a home state, or a state 39 that is neither a home or significant-connection state may be able to assume 40 jurisdiction even though the particular respondent has both a home state and one 41

or more significant-connection states. One of these situations is if a state declines 1 to exercise jurisdiction under Section 206 because a court of that state concludes 2 that a court of another state is a more appropriate forum. Another is Section 207, 3 which authorizes a court to decline jurisdiction or fashion another appropriate 4 remedy if jurisdiction was acquired because of unjustifiable conduct. Section 205 5 provides that once an appointment is made or order issued, the court's jurisdiction 6 7 continues until the proceeding is terminated or the appointment or order expires by 8 its own terms.

9

10 Section 204 addresses special cases. Regardless of whether it has jurisdiction under the general principles stated in Section 203, a court in the state 11 where the individual is currently physically present has jurisdiction to appoint a 12 guardian in an emergency, and a court in a state where an individual's real or 13 tangible personal property is located has jurisdiction to appoint a conservator or 14 issue another type of protective order with respect to that property. In addition, a 15 court not otherwise having jurisdiction under Section 203 has jurisdiction to 16 consider a petition to accept the transfer of an already existing guardianship or 17 conservatorship from another state as provided in Article 3. 18

The remainder of Article 2 addresses procedural issues. Section 208 prescribes additional notice requirements if a proceeding is brought in a state other than the respondent's home state. Section 209 specifies a procedure for resolving jurisdictional issues if petitions are pending in more than one state.

24

19

25 §5-521. Definitions; significant connection factors

26 (a). As used in this subpart, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following
 27 terms have the following meanings.

(1). "Emergency" means a circumstance that likely will result in substantial harm to
 a respondent's health, safety or welfare, and for which the appointment of a guardian
 is necessary because no other person has authority and is willing to act on the
 respondent's behalf.

(2). "Home state" means the state in which the respondent was physically present,
 including any period of temporary absence, for at least 6 consecutive months
 immediately before the filing of a petition for a protective order or the appointment of
 a guardian or, if none, the state in which the respondent was physically present,
 including any period of temporary absence, for at least 6 consecutive months ending
 within the 6 months prior to the filing of the petition.

- 38 (3). "Significant-connection state" means a state, other than the home state, with
 39 which a respondent has a significant connection other than mere physical presence
 40 and in which substantial evidence concerning the respondent is available.
- 41 **(b).** In determining under section 5-523 and section 5-531, subsection (e) whether a 42 respondent has a significant connection with a particular state, the court shall consider:

1 2	(1). The location of the respondent's family and other persons required to be notified of the guardianship or protective proceeding;
3 4	(2). The length of time the respondent at any time was physically present in the state and the duration of any absence;
5	(3). The location of the respondent's property; and
6 7 8	(4). The extent to which the respondent has ties to the state such as voting registration, state or local tax return filing, vehicle registration, driver's license, social relationship and receipt of services.
9	
10	Comment
11	
12 13 14	(This is Section 201 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
14 15	The terms "emergency," "home state," and "significant-connection state"
16	are defined in this section and not in Section 102 because they are used only in
10	Article 2.
18	
19	The definition of "emergency" (subsection $(a)(1)$) is taken from the
20	emergency guardianship provision of the Uniform Guardianship and Protective
21	Proceedings Act (1997), Section 312.
22	
23	Pursuant to Section 204 of this Act, a court has jurisdiction to appoint a
24	guardian in an emergency for a period of up to 90 days even though it does not
25	otherwise have jurisdiction. However, the emergency appointment is subject to the
26 27	direction of the court in the respondent's home state. Pursuant to Section 204(b), the amageneous proceeding must be diamigned at the request of the court in the
27 28	the emergency proceeding must be dismissed at the request of the court in the respondent's home state.
28 29	respondent s nome state.
30	Appointing a guardian in an emergency should be an unusual event.
31	Although most states have emergency guardianship statutes, not all states do, and
32	in those states that do have such statutes, there is great variation on whether and
33	how an emergency is defined. To provide some uniformity on when a court
34	acquires emergency jurisdiction, the drafters of this Act concluded that adding a
35	definition of emergency was essential. The definition does not preclude an
36	enacting jurisdiction from appointing a guardian under an emergency guardianship
37	statute with a different or broader test of emergency if the court otherwise has
38	jurisdiction to make an appointment under Section 203.
39	
40	Pursuant to Section 203, a court in the respondent's home state has primary invisition to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order. A court in a
41 42	jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order. A court in a significant-connection state has jurisdiction if the respondent does not have a

home state and in other circumstances specified in Section 203. The definitions of
 "home state" and "significant-connection state" are therefore important to an
 understanding of the Act.

4

The definition of "home state" (subsection (a)(2)) is derived from but 5 differs in a couple of respects from the definition of the same term in Section 102 6 of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997). First, 7 unlike the definition in the UCCJEA, the definition in this Act clarifies that actual 8 physical presence is necessary. The UCCJEA definition instead focuses on where 9 the child has "lived" for the prior six months. Basing the test on where someone 10 has "lived" may imply that the term "home state" is similar to the concept of 11 domicile. Domicile, in an adult guardianship context, is a vague concept that can 12 easily lead to claims of jurisdiction by courts in more than one state. Second, 13 under the UCCJEA, home state jurisdiction continues for six months following 14 physical removal from the state and the state has ceased to be the actual home. 15 Under this Act, the six-month tail is incorporated directly into the definition of 16 home state. The place where the respondent was last physically present for six 17 months continues as the home state for six months following physical removal 18 from the state. This modification of the UCCJEA definition eliminates the need to 19 20 refer to the six-month tail each time home state jurisdiction is mentioned in the 21 Act. 22

The definition of "significant-connection state" (subsection (a)(3)) is 23 similar to Section 201(a)(2) of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and 24 Enforcement Act (1997). However, subsection (b) of this Section adds a list of 25 factors relevant to adult guardianship and protective proceedings to aid the court 26 27 in deciding whether a particular place is a significant-connection state. Under Section 301(e)(1), the significant connection factors listed in the definition are to 28 29 be taken into account in determining whether a conservatorship may be transferred 30 to another state.

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

§5-522. Exclusive basis

This subpart provides the exclusive jurisdictional basis for a court of this State to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order for an adult.

Comment

- 38 (This is Section 202 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective
 39 Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
 40
- 41 Similar to Section 201(b) of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and 42 Enforcement Act (1997), which provides that the UCCJEA is the exclusive basis

for determining jurisdiction to issue a child custody order, this section provides 1 that this article is the exclusive jurisdictional basis for determining jurisdiction to 2 appoint a guardian or issue a protective order for an adult. An enacting jurisdiction 3 will therefore need to repeal any existing provisions addressing jurisdiction in 4 guardianship and protective proceedings cases. A Legislative Note to Section 503 5 provides guidance on which provisions need to be repealed or amended. The 6 drafters of this Act concluded that limiting the Act to "interstate" cases was 7 unworkable. Such cases are hard to define, but even if they could be defined. 8 overlaying this Act onto a state's existing jurisdictional rules would leave too 9 many gaps and inconsistencies. In addition, if the particular case is truly local, the 10 local court would likely have jurisdiction under both this Act as well as under 11 prior law. 12

13

14 **§5-523. Jurisdiction**

- A court of this State has jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order
 for a respondent if:
- 17 (a). This State is the respondent's home state;
- 18 (b). On the date the petition is filed, this State is a significant-connection state and:
- 19(1). The respondent does not have a home state or a court of the respondent's home20state has declined to exercise jurisdiction because this State is a more appropriate21forum;
- (2). The respondent has a home state, a petition for an appointment or order is not
 pending in a court of that state or another significant-connection state and, before the
 court makes the appointment or issues the order:
- 25(i) A petition for an appointment or order is not filed in the respondent's home26state;
- 27 (ii) An objection to the court's jurisdiction is not filed by a person required to be
 28 notified of the proceeding; and
- 29(iii) The court in this State concludes that it is an appropriate forum under the30factors set forth in section 5-526;
- 31 (3). This State does not have jurisdiction under either paragraph (1) or (2), the
 32 respondent's home state and all significant-connection states have declined to
 33 exercise jurisdiction because this State is the more appropriate forum and jurisdiction
 34 in this State is consistent with the constitutions of this State and the United States; or
- 35 (4). The requirements for special jurisdiction under section 5-524 are met.
- 36 37
- 38

Comment

(This is Section 203 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective
 Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
 3

Similar to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997), this Act creates a three-level priority for determining which state has jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order; the home state (defined in Section 201(a)(2)), followed by a significant-connection state (defined in Section 201(a)(3)), followed by other jurisdictions. The principal objective of this section is to eliminate the possibility of dual appointments or orders except for the special circumstances specified in Section 204.

While this section is the principal provision for determining whether a particular court has jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order, it is not the only provision. As indicated in the cross-reference in Section 203(4), a court that does not otherwise have jurisdiction under Section 203 may have jurisdiction under the special circumstances specified in Section 204.

17

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

18 Pursuant to Section 203(1), the home state has primary jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or conservator or issue another type of protective order. This 19 jurisdiction terminates if the state ceases to be the home state, if a court of the 20 21 home state declines to exercise jurisdiction under Section 206 on the basis that another state is a more appropriate forum, or, as provided in Section 205, a court 22 of another state has appointed a guardian or issued a protective order consistent 23 with this Act. The standards by which a home state that has enacted the Act may 24 decline jurisdiction on the basis that another state is a more appropriate forum are 25 specified in Section 206. Should the home state not have enacted the Act, Section 26 27 203(1) does not require that the declination meet the standards of Section 206.

28

Once a petition is filed in a court of the respondent's home state, that state does not cease to be the respondent's home state upon the passage of time even though it may be many months before an appointment is made or order issued and during that period the respondent is physically located. Only upon dismissal of the petition can the court cease to be the home state due to the passage of time. Under the definition of "home state," the six-month physical presence requirement is fulfilled or not on the date the petition is filed. *See* Section 201(a)(2).

36

42

A significant-connection state has jurisdiction under two possible bases; Section 203(2)(A) and Section 203(2)(B). Under Section 203(2)(A), a significantconnection state has jurisdiction if the individual does not have a home state or if the home state has declined jurisdiction on the basis that the significantconnection state is a more appropriate forum.

43 Section 203(2)(B) is designed to facilitate consideration of cases where 44 jurisdiction is not in dispute. Section 203(2)(B) allows a court in a significant-

connection state to exercise jurisdiction even though the respondent has a home 1 state and the home state has not declined jurisdiction. The significant-connection 2 state may assume jurisdiction under these circumstances, however, only in 3 situations where the parties are not in disagreement concerning which court should 4 5 hear the case. Jurisdiction may not be exercised by a significant-connection state under Section 203(2)(B) if (1) a petition has already been filed and is still pending 6 in the home state or other significant-connection state; or (2) prior to making the 7 appointment or issuing the order, a petition is filed in the respondent's home state 8 or an objection to the court's jurisdiction is filed by a person required to be 9 notified of the proceeding. Additionally, the court in the significant-connection 10 state must conclude that it is an appropriate forum applying the factors listed in 11 12 Section 206.

13

There is nothing comparable to Section 203(2)(B) in the Uniform Child 14 Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997). Under Section 201 of the 15 UCCJEA a court in a significant-connection state acquires jurisdiction only if the 16 child does not have a home state or the court of that state has declined jurisdiction. 17 The drafters of this Act concluded that cases involving adults differed sufficiently 18 from child custody matters that a different rule is appropriate for adult proceedings 19 20 in situations where jurisdiction is uncontested.

21 22

Pursuant to Section 203(3), a court in a state that is neither the home state or a significant-connection state has jurisdiction if the home state and all 23 significant-connection states have declined jurisdiction or the respondent does not 24 have a home state or significant-connection state. The state must have some 25 connection with the proceeding, however. As Section 203(a)(3) clarifies, 26 27 jurisdiction in the state must be consistent with the state and United States constitutions. 28 29

- 30 §5-524. Special jurisdiction
- 31 (a). A court of this State lacking jurisdiction under section 5-523, subsections (a) to (c) has special jurisdiction to do any of the following: 32
- 33 (1). Appoint a guardian in an emergency for a term not exceeding 90 days for a respondent who is physically present in this State; 34
- 35 (2). Issue a protective order with respect to real or tangible personal property located 36 in this State; or
- 37 (3). Appoint a guardian or conservator for an incapacitated person or protected 38 person for whom a provisional order to transfer the proceeding from another state has 39 been issued under procedures similar to section 5-531.
- 40 (b). If a petition for the appointment of a guardian in an emergency is brought in this 41 State and this State was not the respondent's home state on the date the petition was filed,

the court shall dismiss the proceeding at the request of the court of the home state, if any, whether dismissal is requested before or after the emergency appointment.

Comment

(This is Section 204 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)

9 This section lists the special circumstances where a court without jurisdiction under the general rule of Section 203 has jurisdiction for limited 10 purposes. The three purposes are (1) the appointment of a guardian in an 11 emergency for a term not exceeding 90 days for a respondent who is physically 12 located in the state (subsection (a)(1)); (2) the issuance of a protective order for a 13 respondent who owns an interest in real or tangible personal property located in 14 the state (subsection (a)(2)); and (3) the grant of jurisdiction to consider a petition 15 requesting the transfer of a guardianship or conservatorship proceeding from 16 another state (subsection (a)(3)). If the court has jurisdiction under Section 203, 17 reference to Section 204 is unnecessary. The general jurisdiction granted under 18 Section 203 includes within it all of the special circumstances specified in this 19 20 section

21

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

22 When an emergency arises, action must often be taken on the spot in the place where the respondent happens to be physically located at the time. This 23 place may not necessarily be located in the respondent's home state or even a 24 significant-connection state. Subsection (a)(1) assures that the court where the 25 respondent happens to be physically located at the time has jurisdiction to appoint 26 27 a guardian in an emergency but only for a limited period of 90 days. The time limit is placed in brackets to signal that enacting states may substitute the time 28 period under their existing emergency guardianship procedures. As provided in 29 subsection (b), the emergency jurisdiction is also subject to the authority of the 30 31 court in the respondent's home state to request that the emergency proceeding be dismissed. The theory here is that the emergency appointment in the temporary 32 33 location should not be converted into a de facto permanent appointment through repeated temporary appointments. 34

35

³⁶ "Emergency" is specifically defined in Section 201(a)(1). Because of the ³⁷ great variation among the states on how an emergency is defined and its important ³⁸ role in conferring jurisdiction, the drafters of this Act concluded that adding a ³⁹ uniform definition of emergency was essential. The definition does not preclude ⁴⁰ an enacting jurisdiction from appointing a guardian under an emergency ⁴¹ guardianship statute with a different or broader test of emergency if the court ⁴² otherwise has jurisdiction to make an appointment under Section 203.

Subsection (a)(2) grants a court jurisdiction to issue a protective order with 1 respect to real and tangible personal property located in the state even though the 2 court does not otherwise have jurisdiction. Such orders are most commonly issued 3 when a conservator has been appointed but the protected person owns real 4 property located in another state. The drafters specifically rejected using a general 5 reference to any property located in the state because of the tendency of some 6 courts to issue protective orders with respect to intangible personal property such 7 as a bank account where the technical situs of the asset may have little relationship 8 to the protected person. 9

10

Subsection (a)(3) is closely related to and is necessary for the effectiveness 11 of Article 3, which addresses transfer of a guardianship or conservatorship to 12 another state. A "Catch-22" arises frequently in such cases. The court in the 13 transferring state will not allow the incapacitated or protected person to move and 14 will not terminate the case until the court in the transferee state has accepted the 15 matter. But the court in the transferee state will not accept the case until the 16 incapacitated or protected person has physically moved and presumably become a 17 resident of the transferee state. Subsection (a)(3), which grants the court in the 18 transferee state limited jurisdiction to consider a petition requesting transfer of a 19 proceeding from another state, is intended to unlock the stalemate. 20

Not included in this section but a provision also conferring special jurisdiction on the court is Section 105(b), which grants the court jurisdiction to respond to a request for assistance from a court of another state.

24 25 26

31 32

33

36

21 22

23

§5-525. Exclusive and continuing jurisdiction

Except as otherwise provided in section 5-524, a court that has appointed a guardian
 or issued a protective order consistent with this Part has exclusive and continuing
 jurisdiction over the proceeding until it is terminated by the court or the appointment or
 order expires by its own terms.

Comment

34 (This is Section 205 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective
 35 Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)

While this Act relies heavily on the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997) for many basic concepts, the identity is not absolute. Section 202 of the UCCJEA specifies a variety of circumstances whereby a court can lose jurisdiction based on loss of physical presence by the child and others, loss of a significant connection, or unavailability of substantial evidence. Section 203 of the UCCJEA addresses the jurisdiction of the court to modify a custody

determination made in another state. Nothing comparable to either UCCJEA 1 section is found in this Act. Under this Act, a guardianship or protective order may 2 be modified only upon request to the court that made the appointment or issued 3 the order, which retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the proceeding. 4 5 Unlike child custody matters, guardianships and protective proceedings are ordinarily subject to continuing court supervision. Allowing the court's jurisdiction 6 to terminate other than by its own order would open the possibility of competing 7 guardianship or conservatorship appointments in different states for the same 8 person at the same time, the problem under current law that enactment of this Act 9 is designed to avoid. Should the incapacitated or protected person and others with 10 an interest in the proceeding relocate to a different state, the appropriate remedy is 11 to seek transfer of the proceeding to the other state as provided in Article 3. 12 13

- The exclusive and continuing jurisdiction conferred by this section only applies to guardianship orders made and protective orders issued under Section Conferred by Section 204 are not exclusive. And as provided in Section 204(b), the jurisdiction of a court in a state other than the home state to appoint a guardian in an emergency is subject to the right of a court in the home state to request that the proceeding be dismissed and any appointment terminated.
- Article 3 authorizes a guardian or conservator to petition to transfer the proceeding to another state. Upon the conclusion of the transfer, the court in the accepting state will appoint the guardian or conservator as guardian or conservator in the accepting state and the court in the transferring state will terminate the local proceeding, whereupon the jurisdiction of the transferring court terminates and the court in the accepting state acquires exclusive and continuing jurisdiction as provided in Section 205.

29 30

21

<u>§5-526. Appropriate forum</u>

31 (a). A court of this State having jurisdiction under section 5-523 to appoint a
 32 guardian or issue a protective order may decline to exercise its jurisdiction if it
 33 determines at any time that a court of another state is a more appropriate forum.

(b). If a court of this State declines to exercise its jurisdiction under subsection (a), it
 shall either dismiss or stay the proceeding. The court may impose any condition the court
 considers just and proper, including the condition that a petition for the appointment of a
 guardian or issuance of a protective order be filed promptly in another state.

38 (c). In determining whether it is an appropriate forum, the court shall consider all 39 relevant factors, including:

40 <u>(1). Any expressed preference of the respondent;</u>

1 2 3	(2). Whether abuse, neglect or exploitation of the respondent has occurred or is likely to occur and which state could best protect the respondent from the abuse, neglect or exploitation;
4 5	(3). The length of time the respondent was physically present in or was a legal resident of this State or another state;
6	(4). The distance of the respondent from the court in each state;
7	(5). The financial circumstances of the respondent's estate;
8	(6). The nature and location of the evidence;
9 10	(7). The ability of the court in each state to decide the issue expeditiously and the procedures necessary to present evidence;
11 12	(8). The familiarity of the court of each state with the facts and issues in the proceeding; and
13 14	(9). If an appointment were made, the court's ability to monitor the conduct of the guardian or conservator.
15	
16	Comment
17	(This is Section 206 of the Uniform Adult Cuardianship and Protective
18 19	(This is Section 206 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
20	
21	This section authorizes a court otherwise having jurisdiction to decline
22	jurisdiction on the basis that a court in another state is in a better position to make
23	a guardianship or protective order determination. The effect of a declination of
24	jurisdiction under this section is to rearrange the priorities specified in Section
25 26	203. A court of the home state may decline in favor of a court of a significant-
26 27	connection or other state and a court in a significant-connection state may decline in favor of a court in another significant-connection or other state. The court
28	declining jurisdiction may either dismiss or stay the proceeding. The court may
29	also impose any condition the court considers just and proper, including the
30	condition that a petition for the appointment of a guardian or issuance of a
31	protective order be filed promptly in another state.
32	
33	This section is similar to Section 207 of the Uniform Child Custody
34	Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997) except that the factors in Section 206(c)
35	of this Act have been adapted to address issues most commonly encountered in
36	adult guardianship and protective proceedings as opposed to child custody
37	determinations.
38	Under Section $202(2)(D)$ the factors appricing in subsection (-) of this
39 40	Under Section 203(2)(B), the factors specified in subsection (c) of this section are to be employed in determining whether a court of a significant-
40 41	connection state may assume jurisdiction when a petition has not been filed in the

respondent's home state or in another significant-connection state. Under Section
 207(a)(3)(B), the court is to consider these factors in deciding whether it will
 retain jurisdiction when unjustifiable conduct has occurred.

4 5

6

7 8

9

31 32

33 34

37

§5-527. Jurisdiction declined by reason of conduct

(a). If at any time a court of this State determines that it acquired jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order because of unjustifiable conduct, the court may:

(1). Decline to exercise jurisdiction;

10(2). Exercise jurisdiction for the limited purpose of fashioning an appropriate remedy11to ensure the health, safety and welfare of the respondent or the protection of the12respondent's property or prevent a repetition of the unjustifiable conduct, including13staying the proceeding until a petition for the appointment of a guardian or issuance14of a protective order is filed in a court of another state having jurisdiction; or

- 15 (3). Continue to exercise jurisdiction after considering:
- 16(i) The extent to which the respondent and all persons required to be notified of17the proceedings have acquiesced in the exercise of the court's jurisdiction;
- 18(ii) Whether it is a more appropriate forum than the court of any other state19under the factors set forth in section 5-526, subsection (c); and
- 20(iii) Whether the court of any other state would have jurisdiction under factual21circumstances in substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of22section 5-523.

23 (b). If a court of this State determines that it acquired jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or issue a protective order because a party seeking to invoke its jurisdiction 24 engaged in unjustifiable conduct, it may assess against that party necessary and 25 reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, investigative fees, court costs, 26 27 communication expenses, witness fees and expenses and travel expenses. The court may not assess fees, costs or expenses of any kind against this State or a governmental 28 29 subdivision, agency or instrumentality of this State unless authorized by law other than 30 this Part.

Comment

(This is Section 207 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective
 Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)

This section is similar to the Section 208 of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997). Like the UCCJEA, this Act does not attempt to define "unjustifiable conduct," concluding that this issue is best left to

the courts. However, a common example could include the unauthorized removal 1 of an adult to another state, with that state acquiring emergency jurisdiction under 2 Section 204 immediately upon the move and home state jurisdiction under Section 3 203 six months following the move if a petition for a guardianship or protective 4 5 order is not filed during the interim in the soon-to-be former home state. Although child custody cases frequently raise different issues than do adult guardianship 6 matters, the element of unauthorized removal is encountered in both types of 7 proceedings. For the caselaw on unjustifiable conduct under the predecessor 8 Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act (1968), see David Carl Minneman, 9 Parties' Misconduct as Grounds for Declining Jurisdiction Under §8 of the 10 Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act (UCCJA), 16 A.L.R. 5th 650 (1993). 11 12

- 13 Subsection (a) gives the court authority to fashion an appropriate remedy when it has acquired jurisdiction because of unjustifiable conduct. The court may 14 decline to exercise jurisdiction; exercise jurisdiction for the limited purpose of 15 fashioning an appropriate remedy to ensure the health, safety, and welfare of the 16 respondent or the protection of the respondent's property or prevent a repetition of 17 the unjustifiable conduct; or continue to exercise jurisdiction after considering 18 several specified factors. Under subsection (a), the unjustifiable conduct need not 19 20 have been committed by a party.
- 21

Subsection (b) authorizes a court to assess costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, against a party whose unjustifiable conduct caused the court to acquire jurisdiction. Subsection (b) applies only if the unjustifiable conduct was committed by a party and allows for costs and expenses to be assessed only against that party. Similar to Section 208 of the UCCJEA, the court may not assess fees, costs, or expenses of any kind against this state or a governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality of the state unless authorized by other law.

29 30

37 38

39

§5-528. Notice of proceeding

If a petition for the appointment of a guardian or issuance of a protective order is brought in this State and this State was not the respondent's home state on the date the petition was filed, in addition to complying with the notice requirements of this State, notice of the petition must be given to those persons who would be entitled to notice of the petition if a proceeding were brought in the respondent's home state. The notice must be given in the same manner as notice is required to be given in this State.

Comment

40
41 (This is Section 208 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective
42 Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)

1 2 While this Act tries not to interfere with a state's underlying substantive law on guardianship and protective proceedings, the issue of notice is 3 fundamental. Under this section, when a proceeding is brought other than in the 4 respondent's home state, the petitioner must give notice in the method provided 5 under local law not only to those entitled to notice under local law but also to the 6 7 persons required to be notified were the proceeding brought in the respondent's home state. Frequently, the respective lists of persons to be notified will be the 8 same. But where the lists are different, notice under this section will assure that 9 someone with a right to assert that the home state has a primary right to 10 jurisdiction will have the opportunity to make that assertion. 11

12 13

§5-529. Proceedings in more than one state

Except for a petition for the appointment of a guardian in an emergency or issuance of a protective order limited to property located in this State under section 5-524, subsection (a), paragraph (1) or (2), if a petition for the appointment of a guardian or issuance of a protective order is filed in this State and in another state and neither petition has been dismissed or withdrawn, the following apply:

(a). If the court in this State has jurisdiction under section 5-523, it may proceed with
 the case unless a court in another state acquires jurisdiction under provisions similar to
 section 5-523 before the appointment or issuance of the order.

(b). If the court in this State does not have jurisdiction under section 5-523, whether at the time the petition is filed or at any time before the appointment or issuance of the order, the court shall stay the proceeding and communicate with the court in the other state. If the court in the other state has jurisdiction, the court in this State shall dismiss the petition unless the court in the other state determines that the court in this State is a more appropriate forum.

28 29

30

33

Comment

31 (This is Section 209 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective
 32 Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)

34 Similar to Section 206 of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (1997), this section addresses the issue of which court has the 35 right to proceed when proceedings for the same respondent are brought in more 36 than one state. The provisions of this section, however, have been tailored to the 37 38 needs of adult guardianship and protective proceedings and the particular jurisdictional provisions of this Act. Emergency guardianship appointments and 39 40 protective proceedings with respect to property in other states (Sections 204(a)(1)) and (a)(2)) are excluded from this section because the need for dual appointments 41

is frequent in these cases; for example, a petition will be brought in the
respondent's home state but emergency action will be necessary in the place where
the respondent is temporarily located, or a petition for the appointment of a
conservator will be brought in the respondent's home state but real estate located
in some other state needs to be brought under management.

7 Under the Act only one court in which a petition is pending will have jurisdiction under Section 203. If a petition is brought in the respondent's home 8 state, that court has jurisdiction over that of any significant-connection or other 9 state. If the petition is first brought in a significant-connection state, that 10 jurisdiction will be lost if a petition is later brought in the home state prior to an 11 appointment or issuance of an order in the significant-connection state. 12 Jurisdiction will also be lost in the significant-connection state if the respondent 13 has a home state and an objection is filed in the significant-connection state that 14 jurisdiction is properly in the home state. If petitions are brought in two 15 significant-connection states, the first state has a right to proceed over that of the 16 second state, and if a petition is brought in any other state, any claim to 17 jurisdiction of that state is subordinate to that of the home state and all significant-18 connection states. 19

21 Under this section, if the court has jurisdiction under Section 203, it has the right to proceed unless a court of another state acquires jurisdiction prior to the 22 first court making an appointment or issuing a protective order. If the court does 23 not have jurisdiction under Section 203, it must defer to the court with jurisdiction 24 unless that court determines that the court in this state is the more appropriate 25 forum and it thereby acquires jurisdiction. While the rules are straightforward, 26 27 factual issues can arise as to which state is the home state or significantconnection state. Consequently, while under Section 203 there will almost always 28 29 be a court having jurisdiction to proceed, reliance on the communication, court cooperation, and evidence gathering provisions of Sections 104-106 will 30 31 sometimes be necessary to determine which court that might be. 32

SUBPART 3

TRANSFER OF GUARDIANSHIP OR CONSERVATORSHIP

35

33

34

6

20

36 37

General Comment

While this article consists of two separate sections, they are part of one integrated procedure. Article 3 authorizes a guardian or conservator to petition the court to transfer the guardianship or conservatorship proceeding to a court of another state. Such a transfer is often appropriate when the incapacitated or protected person has moved or has been placed in a facility in another state, making it impossible for the original court to adequately monitor the proceeding. Article 3 authorizes a transfer of a guardianship, a conservatorship, or both. There is no requirement that both categories of proceeding be administered in the same state.

4 5 6

7

8

1

2

3

Section 301 addresses procedures in the transferring state. Section 302 addresses procedures in the accepting state.

A transfer begins with the filing of a petition by the guardian or 9 conservator as provided in Section 301(a). Notice of this petition must be given to 10 the persons who would be entitled to notice were the petition a petition for an 11 original appointment. Section 301(b). A hearing on the petition is required only if 12 requested or on the court's own motion. Section 301(c). Assuming the court in the 13 transferring state is satisfied that the grounds for transfer stated in Section 301(d) 14 (guardianship) or 301(e) (conservatorship) have been met, one of which is that the 15 court is satisfied that the court in the other state will accept the case, the court 16 must issue a provisional order approving the transfer. The transferring court will 17 not issue a final order dismissing the case until, as provided in Section 301(f), it 18 receives a copy of the provisional order from the accepting court accepting the 19 20 transferred proceeding.

20 21

> 22 Following issuance of the provisional order by the transferring court, a petition must be filed in the accepting court as provided in Section 302(a). Notice 23 of that petition must be given to those who would be entitled to notice of an 24 original petition for appointment in both the transferring state and in the accepting 25 state. Section 302(b). A hearing must be held only if requested or on the court's 26 27 own motion. Section 302(c). The court must issue a provisional order accepting the case unless it is established that the transfer would be contrary to the 28 incapacitated or protected person's interests or the guardian or conservator is 29 ineligible for appointment in the accepting state. Section 302(d). The term 30 "interests" as opposed to "best interests" was chosen because of the strong 31 autonomy values in modern guardianship law. Should the court decline the 32 33 transfer petition, it may consider a separately brought petition for the appointment of a guardian or issuance of a protective order only if the court has a basis for 34 jurisdiction under Sections 203 or 204 other than by reason of the provisional 35 order of transfer. Section 302(h). 36

37

The final steps are largely ministerial. Pursuant to Section 301(f), the provisional order from the accepting court must be filed in the transferring court. The transferring court will then issue a final order terminating the proceeding, subject to local requirements such as filing of a final report or account and the release of any bond. Pursuant to Section 302(e), the final order terminating the proceeding in the transferring court must then be filed in the accepting court, which will then convert its provisional order accepting the case into a final order appointing the petitioning guardian or conservator as guardian or conservator in
 the accepting state.

3

4 Because guardianship and conservatorship law and practice will likely differ between the two states, the court in the accepting state must within 90 days 5 after issuance of a final order determine whether the guardianship or 6 conservatorship needs to be modified to conform to the law of the accepting state. 7 Section 302(f). The number "90" is placed in brackets to encourage states to 8 coordinate this time limit with the time limits for other required filings such as 9 guardianship or conservatorship plans. This initial period in the accepting state is 10 also an appropriate time to change the guardian or conservator if there is a more 11 appropriate person to act as guardian or conservator in the accepting state. The 12 drafters specifically did not try to design the procedures in Article 3 for the 13 difficult problems that can arise in connection with a transfer when the guardian or 14 conservator is ineligible to act in the second state, a circumstance that can occur 15 when a financial institution is acting as conservator or a government agency is 16 acting as guardian. Rather, the procedures in Article 3 are designed for the typical 17 case where the guardian or conservator is legally eligible to act in the second state. 18 Should that particular guardian or conservator not be the best person to act in the 19 20 accepting state, a change of guardian or conservator can be initiated once the transfer has been secured. 21 22

The transfer procedure in this article responds to numerous problems that 23 have arisen in connection with attempted transfers under the existing law of most 24 states. Sometimes a court will dismiss a case on the assumption a proceeding will 25 be brought in another state, but such proceeding is never filed. Sometimes a court 26 27 will refuse to dismiss a case until the court in the other state accepts the matter, but the court in the other state refuses to consider the petition until the already 28 existing guardianship or conservatorship has been terminated. Oftentimes the 29 court will conclude that it is without jurisdiction to make an appointment until the 30 respondent is physically present in the state, a problem which Section 204(a)(3)31 addresses by granting a court special jurisdiction to consider a petition to accept a 32 33 proceeding from another state. But the most serious problem is the need to prove the case in the second state from scratch, including proving the respondent's 34 incapacity and the choice of guardian or conservator. Article 3 eliminates this 35 problem. Section 302(g) requires that the court accepting the case recognize a 36 37 guardianship or conservatorship order from the other state, including the determination of the incapacitated or protected person's incapacity and the 38 appointment of the guardian or conservator, if otherwise eligible to act in the 39 accepting state. 40

1	§5-531. Transfer of guardianship or conservatorship to another state
2 3	(a). A guardian or conservator appointed in this State may petition the court to transfer the guardianship or conservatorship to another state.
4 5 6	(b). Notice of a petition under subsection (a) must be given to the persons that would be entitled to notice of a petition in this State for the appointment of a guardian or conservator.
7 8 9	(c). On the court's own motion or on request of the guardian or conservator, the incapacitated person or protected person or other person required to be notified of the petition, the court shall hold a hearing on a petition filed pursuant to subsection (a).
10 11 12 13	(d). The court shall issue an order provisionally granting a petition to transfer a guardianship and shall direct the guardian to petition for guardianship in the other state if the court is satisfied that the guardianship will be accepted by the court in the other state and the court finds that:
14 15	(1). The incapacitated person is physically present in or is reasonably expected to move permanently to the other state;
16 17 18	(2). An objection to the transfer has not been made or, if an objection has been made, the objector has not established that the transfer would be contrary to the interests of the incapacitated person; and
19 20	(3). Plans for care and services for the incapacitated person in the other state are reasonable and sufficient.
21 22 23 24	(e). The court shall issue a provisional order granting a petition to transfer a conservatorship and shall direct the conservator to petition for conservatorship in the other state if the court is satisfied that the conservatorship will be accepted by the court of the other state and the court finds that:
25 26 27	(1). The protected person is physically present in or is reasonably expected to move permanently to the other state, or the protected person has a significant connection to the other state considering the factors in section 5-521, subsection (b);
28 29 30	(2). An objection to the transfer has not been made or, if an objection has been made, the objector has not established that the transfer would be contrary to the interests of the protected person; and
31 32	(3). Adequate arrangements will be made for management of the protected person's property.
33 34	(f). The court shall issue a final order confirming the transfer and terminating the guardianship or conservatorship upon its receipt of:
35 36 37	(1). A provisional order accepting the proceeding from the court to which the proceeding is to be transferred that is issued under provisions similar to section $5-532$; and
38 39	(2). The documents required to terminate a guardianship or conservatorship in this <u>State.</u>

1	§5-532. Accepting guardianship or conservatorship transferred from another state
2 3 4 5	(a). To confirm transfer of a guardianship or conservatorship transferred to this State under provisions similar to section 5-531, the guardian or conservator must petition the court in this State to accept the guardianship or conservatorship. The petition must include a certified copy of the other state's provisional order of transfer.
6 7 8 9	(b). Notice of a petition under subsection (a) must be given to those persons that would be entitled to notice if the petition were a petition for the appointment of a guardian or issuance of a protective order in both the transferring state and this State. The notice must be given in the same manner as notice is required to be given in this State.
10 11 12	(c). On the court's own motion or on request of the guardian or conservator, the incapacitated person or protected person or other person required to be notified of the proceeding, the court shall hold a hearing on a petition filed pursuant to subsection (a).
13 14	(d). The court shall issue an order provisionally granting a petition filed under subsection (a) unless:
15 16	(1). An objection is made and the objector establishes that transfer of the proceeding would be contrary to the interests of the incapacitated person or protected person; or
17	(2). The guardian or conservator is ineligible for appointment in this State.
18 19 20 21	(e). The court shall issue a final order accepting the proceeding and appointing the guardian or conservator as guardian or conservator in this State upon its receipt from the court from which the proceeding is being transferred of a final order issued under provisions similar to section 5-531 transferring the proceeding to this State.
22 23 24	(f). Not later than 90 days after issuance of a final order accepting transfer of a guardianship or conservatorship, the court shall determine whether the guardianship or conservatorship needs to be modified to conform to the law of this State.
25 26 27 28	(g). In granting a petition under this section, the court shall recognize a guardianship or conservatorship order from the other state, including the determination of the incapacitated person's or protected person's incapacity and the appointment of the guardian or conservator.
29 30 31 32 33	(h). The denial by a court of this State of a petition to accept a guardianship or conservatorship transferred from another state does not affect the ability of the guardian or conservator to seek appointment as guardian or conservator in this State under Part 3 or 4 if the court has jurisdiction to make an appointment other than by reason of the provisional order of transfer.
34	SUBPART 4
35	REGISTRATION AND RECOGNITION OF ORDERS FROM OTHER STATES
36	
37	General Comment

1 2 Article 4 is designed to facilitate the enforcement of guardianship and protective orders in other states. This article does not make distinctions among the 3 types of orders that can be enforced. This article is applicable whether the 4 guardianship or conservatorship is full or limited. While some states have 5 expedited procedures for sales of real estate by conservators appointed in other 6 7 states, few states have enacted statutes dealing with enforcement of guardianship orders, such as when a care facility questions the authority of a guardian appointed 8 in another state. Sometimes, these sorts of refusals necessitate that the proceeding 9 be transferred to the other state or that an entirely new petition be filed, problems 10 that could often be avoided if guardianship and protective orders were entitled to 11 12 recognition in other states.

13

Article 4 provides for such recognition. The key concept is registration. Section 401 provides for registration of guardianship orders, and Section 402 for registration of protective orders. Following registration of the order in the appropriate county of the other state, and after giving notice to the appointing court of the intent to register the order in the other state, Section 403 authorizes the guardian or conservator to thereafter exercise all powers authorized in the order of appointment except as prohibited under the laws of the registering state.

The drafters of the Act concluded that the registration of certified copies provides sufficient protection and that it was not necessary to mandate the filing of authenticated copies.

26 §5-541. Registration of guardianship

If a guardian has been appointed in another state and a petition for the appointment of
 a guardian is not pending in this State, the guardian appointed in the other state, after
 giving notice to the appointing court of an intent to register, may register the guardianship
 order in this State by filing as a foreign judgment in a court, in any appropriate county of
 this State, certified copies of the order and letters of office.

32 §5-542. Registration of protective orders

If a conservator has been appointed in another state and a petition for a protective order is not pending in this State, the conservator appointed in the other state, after giving notice to the appointing court of an intent to register, may register the protective order in this State by filing as a foreign judgment in a court of this State, in any county in which property belonging to the protected person is located, certified copies of the order and letters of office and of any bond.

39 §5-543. Effect of registration

40 (a). Upon registration of a guardianship or protective order from another state, the 41 guardian or conservator may exercise in this State all powers authorized in the order of

1 2	appointment except as prohibited under the laws of this State, including maintaining actions and proceedings in this State and, if the guardian or conservator is not a resident
3	of this State, subject to any conditions imposed upon nonresident parties.
4 5	(b). A court of this State may grant any relief available under this Part and other law of this State to enforce a registered order.
6	SUBPART 5
7	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
8	§5-551. Uniformity of application and construction
9 10	In applying and construing this Act, consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
11	§5-552. Relation to Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act
12 13 14 15	This Part modifies, limits and supersedes the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 United States Code, Section 7001 et seq., but does not modify, limit or supersede 15 United States Code, Section 7001(c) or authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in 15 United States Code, Section 7003(b).
16	<u>§5-553. Transitional provisions</u>
17 18	(a). This Part applies to guardianship and protective proceedings begun on or after January 1, 2012.
19 20 21	(b). Subparts 1, 3 and 4 and sections 5-551 and 5-552 apply to proceedings begun before January 1, 2012, regardless of whether a guardianship or protective order has been issued.
22	
23	Comment
24 25 26 27	(This is Section 504 of the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings Jurisdiction Act.)
28 29 30	This Act applies retroactively to guardianships and conservatorships in existence on the effective date. The guardian or conservator appointed prior to the effective date of the Act may petition to transfer the proceeding to another state
31 32 33 34	under Article 3 and register and enforce the order in other states pursuant to Article 4. The jurisdictional provisions of Article 2 also apply to proceedings begun on or after the effective date. What the Act does not do is change the jurisdictional rules midstream for petitions filed prior to the effective date for
34 35 36	which an appointment has not been made or order issued as of the effective date. Jurisdiction in such cases is governed by prior law. Nor does the Act affect the

validity of already existing appointments even though the court might not have
 had jurisdiction had this Act been in effect at the time the appointment was made.

4 §5-554. Effective date

3

6

- 5 <u>This Part takes effect January 1, 2012.</u>
 - SUMMARY

This bill enacts the Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings
Jurisdiction Act as a new Part of Article 5 of the Probate Code. The uniform comments
adopted by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws are
included.

11 The bill addresses the issue of jurisdiction over adult guardianships, conservatorships 12 and other protective proceedings, providing an effective mechanism for resolving 13 multistate jurisdictional disputes. It contains specific guidelines to specify which court 14 has jurisdiction to appoint a guardian or conservator for an incapacitated adult. The 15 objective is that only one state will have jurisdiction at any one time.

16 The bill takes effect January 1, 2012, but applies to all guardianships and 17 conservatorships, including those created prior to that date.